

## H2020-ITN THERACAT (765497)

<b>Work Package Number</b>	WP7	<b>Task Number</b>	T7.3	<b>Deliverable Number</b>	D7.7	<b>Lead Beneficiary</b>	IBEC
<b>Deliverable Title</b>	ESRs periodic short reports and AC recommendations (M24)						
<b>Contractual Delivery Date</b>	29/02/2020	<b>Nature</b>	Report			<b>Dissemination Level</b>	CO
<b>Actual Delivery Date</b>	03/11/2020	<b>Contributors</b>	IBEC				

### Overview/Abstract

ESRs periodic short reports and AC recommendations (M24).

### Explanation for large delay in submitting deliverable

As already informed in the project mid-term report, the assessment of the ESRs was conducted as scheduled, during the Network Meeting held on February 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2020 in Edinburgh (UK). However, the original documents containing the results of the assessment were left at IBEC facilities and could not be retrieved due to the State of Alarm declared in Spain as a result of the COVID-19 outbreak. Now that IBEC facilities are re-opened after the confinement, deliverable 7.7 is submitted.

### Led by

<b>Name</b>	Rosa Miralles	<b>Partner</b>	IBEC	<b>Date</b>	22/10/2020
-------------	---------------	----------------	------	-------------	------------

### Reviewed by

<b>Name</b>	Lorenzo Albertazzi	<b>Partner</b>	IBEC	<b>Date</b>	03/11/2020
-------------	--------------------	----------------	------	-------------	------------

### Document Control

Issue #	Date	Changed Pages	Cause of Change	Implemented by
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

## **1. ESRs periodic short reports and AC recommendations (M24)**

### **General Aspects**

The 24-months assessment was performed during the Network Meeting held on February 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2020. Assessment Commission (AC)-ESR assignments were maintained as described in D7.3.

During the Meeting, ESRs presented their individual reports describing main results obtained, scientific and transversal skills training received, and communication and dissemination activities performed to date. Afterwards, each AC met individually with the corresponding ESRs to assess their evolution by completing the questionnaire below based on the presentations and on the feedback given by the ESRs. AC provided some recommendations to the ESRs for the next period. The coordinator collected the answers for all the ESRs to be evaluated.

### **Questionnaire**

The following questionnaire was prepared to be completed for all ESRs:


1. How was the integration within the host institution during months 13-24? BAD / REGULAR / GOOD
2. How was the evolution of the individual Research Project during months 13-24? BAD / REGULAR / GOOD
3. Has the fellow found any major obstacle in his/her research? YES / NO
  - a. If so, is the obstacle already solved? YES / NO
  - b. If not, has any contingency plan/alternative been envisaged? YES / NO
4. How was the implementation of the PhD studies at university up to now? BAD / REGULAR / GOOD
5. Did the local training received during months 13-24 meet the expectations of the ESR? BELOW / MEETS / EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS
6. Did the THERACAT Training Events contribute to the training and research project of the ESR? BELOW / MEETS / EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS
7. How is the perspective of the research for the forthcoming period? BAD / REGULAR / GOOD
8. Does it seem feasible to complete and defend the PhD during the contract? YES / NO & WHY
9. How was the support/help received from coordinator from the management point of view? BAD / REGULAR / GOOD
10. Other issues (to be replied by ESRs)
11. Additional comments (to be provided by AC)

## Evaluation (summary)


Find below a summary of the results obtained in the third AC session (individual responses have been considered confidential but may be shared with the Project Officer upon request).

Number of ESRs assessed: 13

### 1. Integration within host institution (M13-M24): BAD / REGULAR / GOOD




### 2. Evolution research project (M13-M24): BAD / REGULAR / GOOD




*Of note, and according to the ACs, in most cases a “regular” evolution of the research project was caused by minor issues that were solved during the last months preceding the meeting.*


### 3. Obstacles: YES / NO




#### a. If so, obstacles solved? YES / NO




#### b. If not solved, contingency plans envisaged? YES / NO




**4. Implementation of PhD studies: BAD / REGULAR / GOOD**




**5. Local training meeting initial expectations (M13-M24): BELOW / MEETS / EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS**




**6. THERACAT TEs meeting initial expectations (M13-M24): BELOW / MEETS / EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS**



## 7. Perspective forthcoming period: BAD / REGULAR / GOOD




## 8. PhD defense during THERACAT contract: YES / NO



*Of note, all ESRs pursuing a PhD in The Netherlands will not defend the thesis during the THERACAT contract since the PhD in The Netherlands should last 4 years; however, their host institutions are committed to cover the costs of their salaries for the fourth year.*

## 9. Support from coordinator: BAD / REGULAR / GOOD



## 2. References

N/A